

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,821	10/18/2001	Paul Kostyniak	19226/2091 (R-5629)	8272
75	90 03/31/2003			
Michael L. Goldman			EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY LLP			LEVY, NEIL S	
Clinton Square P.O. Box 31051				
Rochester, NY 14603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1616	P
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	. 09/982,821	KOSTYNIAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Neil Levy	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{1}{2}$.//.02					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) — Claim(s) 🚣 🕹 🕳 🕳 4s/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 2 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/982,821

Art Unit: 1616

Receipt is acknowledged of IDS, Declaration, of 3/2/02 and 1/24/02 respectively. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, 44-56, drawn to a complex, classified in class 516, subclass99.
- II. Claims 11-21, drawn to method for preventions, classified in class 427, subclass 1+.
- III. Claims 22-31, drawn to method for delaying, classified in class 424, subclass 403.
- IV. Claims 32-43, drawn to surfaces, classified in class 2, and subclass 4.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The complex of Group I is independent and patentably distinct from the methods of prevention, delaying and the surfaces of Group II, III and IV, as other products, such as baking soda, could be used in II and III, and surfaces other Than skin and towels, such as plants, could be addressed with the complexes of I.

The Group I have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, the search for any other Group, and a search and examination of the entire application would place an undue burden on the Examiner, the present restriction requirement is proper for examination purposes.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of clays, as of claim 3 or 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,821

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-56 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of Ligent, as of claim 6.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of pharmaceutical – insect repellent, sunscreen, or mixture.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-56 generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of surface: skin, cloth.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of application solution, gel, aerosol, towelette, pump or application.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 22-32 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/982,821

Art Unit: 1616

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/982,821

Art Unit: 1616

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agents to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec. 812.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (703)308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday 7 AM to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Levy/LR March 27, 2003